

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JAMES D. MACIEL,

No. 2:02-cv-2675-MCE-PAN-P

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

ORDER

14 TOM PREBULA, ET AL.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 On November 4, 2005, the Court adopted Findings and
18 Recommendations issued August 25, 2005, and dismissed claims
19 against all then-remaining Defendants (Manuel, Riddle, Andreasen,
20 Allen, Sullivan, Zaro and Stratton). However, the Clerk did not
21 enter judgment.

22 On November 28, 2005, Plaintiff filed a "Motion for
23 Reconsideration" of the Court's November 4, 2005, Order and
24 Objections to the August 25, 2005, Findings and Recommendations.
25 Plaintiff fails to demonstrate any new or different facts or
26 circumstances requiring a different analysis or outcome.

1 See E.D. Local Rule 78-230(k). Accordingly, the March 30, 2005,
2 Motion for Reconsideration is DENIED. The Clerk shall enter
3 judgment.

4 DATE: February 13, 2006

5
6 

7 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26